

1

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/203 / /2016 Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4, Free Press Journal Marg, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021. - 4 111 2016 Date :

C.A. NO. 89/2015 IN O.A. NO. 1091/2010 (Sub :- Pension & Pensionary Benefits)

Shri Vilas D. Patil, 1 Add. A/P. Sippur, Tarfa Ajara, Tal. Gadhinglaj, Dist. Kolhapur.APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

2

Shri Manukumar Shrivastav, Secretary, Revenue Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32. Dr. Shri Amit Sainee, The 3

Collector, Kolhapur District,

A/P.Tal. Kolhapur.

Shri S. Chokklingam, Pune Revenue Division, Pune-411 001.

Accountant General, (A & E), 101, M.K. Road, Mumbai-20.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the $\mathbf{28}^{th}$ day of June, 2016 has made the following order:-

Shri C.T. Chandratre, Advocate for the Applicant **APPEARANCE** : Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Respondents.

HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN. CORAM

28.06.2016. DATE

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the 1. ORDER Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre for the Applicant states that the 2. orders are complied with.

In view of the foregoing statement, it is not necessary to proceed with the 3. application, hence, C.A. is disposed of.

Sd/-(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman.

Research Officer. Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

E:\Sachin\Judical Order\ORDER-2016\July-16\02.07.2016\C.A. No. 89 of 15 IN O.A. No. 1091 of 10-28.06.16.doc